

Lockerbie: The Bollier/Lumpert development – allegations

Background

Lumpert Affidavit:

http://www.shirleymckie.com/documents/Lumpertaffidavit_000.pdf

Media Comment:

http://www.iol.co.za/index.php?set_id=1&click_id=3&art_id=nw20070827213616753C102824

<http://rinf.com/alt-news/breaking-news/lockerbie-case-new-accusations-of-manipulation-of-key-forensic-evidence/1135/>

<http://www.middle-east-online.com/english/?id=21895>

<http://observer.guardian.co.uk/world/story/0,,2160655.00.html>

Summary

Edwin Bollier's role:

Edwin Bollier founded Mebo Telecommunications AG in Switzerland in 1969. The company manufactured the timing device that proved to be such a critical piece of evidence at the Lockerbie trial.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Edwin_Bollier#_note-2

He was shown a polaroid photo of the alleged fragment itself in Dumfries in 1999. It was brown and therefore a prototype board.

He was able to see also that the prototype board had never been in a functioning timer because no components had been soldered to it.

The police told Bollier that he was being shown the fragment that had been found at Lockerbie, from the timer that had triggered the bomb.

He was shown the famous PT35b fragment in court in Zeist, it was 'singed' or 'burned' and appeared to be from a production (green) board as supplied to Libya.

Mr. Bollier has no doubt that the Zeist fragment was different from the one he had been shown in 1999.

MEBO has gone bankrupt, partly because of threats of \$30,000,000 from Pan Am's insurers in the past and the withdrawal of contracts by important customers like the West German police.

He states he lodged a 'criminal complaint' in the past with the Dumfries police but claims it was ignored.

In Zeist he tried to explain about the changed appearance of the fragment but was not allowed to do so (under the adversarial system there would have had to be questions from the defence to

him, resulting in replies from him to demonstrate his point): no such questions were forthcoming. This is confirmed from the court transcripts.

Ulrich Lumpert's role

Lumpert was Bollier's chief technician at the time of Lockerbie who left to work at IBM some years ago.

He recently made a voluntary affidavit in Zurich, properly witnessed and signed by an authorised notary.

http://www.shirleymckie.com/documents/Lumpertaffidavit_000.pdf

In the affidavit he confesses to having stolen a brown prototype circuit board from MEBO and given it to a Lockerbie investigator in **June 1989** – the year after the Lockerbie disaster.

Basically he claims that later a part of this board was alleged by the prosecution to have been used in destroying the Pam Am jet in **December 1988**.

Mr. Lumpert is said to be having second thoughts now about what he has done and the affidavit contradicts the evidence he gave at Camp Zeist.

These allegations if true would indicate very serious malpractice by the Scottish police service and possible collusion at the very highest levels to wrongly convict Mr. Megrahi.

They would also leave the Scottish Criminal Cases Review Commission with questions to answer as it is alleged that they did not interview either Mr. Bollier or Lumpert and yet went on to assert they could find no evidence of fabrication of the circuit board fragment.

As always with Lockerbie the unanswered questions mount while the evidence points more and more to a Scottish justice system that has conspired at the very highest levels to defeat the ends of justice.