

EXPERT EVIDENCE: RELIABILITY AND RELEVANCE

Links and References

Page 1

1. <http://www.scc-csc.gc.ca/court-cour/ju/cromwell/index-eng.asp>
2. <http://www.scottishlawreports.org.uk/publications/macfadyen-2011.html>
3. <http://www.terrafirmachambers.com/articles/ExpertEvidence-RoleDutiesandResponsibilitiesoftheExpertWitnessinCourtProceedings.pdf>

Page 2

4. <http://www.thefingerprintinquiryscotland.org.uk/inquiry/3090.html>
Chapter 30, Para 30.19
5. <http://www.newsweek.com/2011/02/17/forensics-under-the-microscope.html>
6. http://www.google.co.uk/search?hl=en&q=MiscariaGES+OF+JUSTICE+EXPERT+EVIDENCE&meta=&rlz=1I7GGLL_en-GB
7. <http://www.cbs.com/shows/csi/>

Page 3

8. <http://www.newsweek.com/2011/02/17/forensics-under-the-microscope.html>
9. <http://www.law.virginia.edu/lawweb/faculty.nsf/FHPbl/1165630>
10. Brandon Garrett: 'Convicting the Innocent: Where Criminal Prosecutions Go Wrong', (Harvard University Press, April 2011)
11. Op. Cit., 8 page 3
12. <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-scotland-glasgow-west-17958745>

13. <http://www8.nationalacademies.org/onpinews/newsitem.aspx?RecordID=12589>

Page 4

14. http://www.justice.gov.uk/lawcommission/docs/lc325_Expert_Evidence_Report.pdf
15. <http://www.thefingerprintinquiryscotland.org.uk/inquiry/3127.html>
16. <http://www.scottishinsight.ac.uk/Portals/50/ScotsLawEvidence.pdf>
17. Op. Cit., 13, page 3
18. H.T. Edwards, The NAS Report on Forensic Science – What it Means for the Bench and Bar (Page 12) at:
[http://www.cadc.uscourts.gov/internet/home.nsf/AttachmentsByTitle/NAS+Report+on+Forensic+Science/\\$FILE/Edwards,+The+NAS+Report+on+Forensic+Science.pdf](http://www.cadc.uscourts.gov/internet/home.nsf/AttachmentsByTitle/NAS+Report+on+Forensic+Science/$FILE/Edwards,+The+NAS+Report+on+Forensic+Science.pdf)
19. Ibid.
20. Ibid.

Page 5

21. http://lst.law.asu.edu/FS09/pdfs/NIFS_Legislative_Outline.pdf
22. <http://www.judiciary.senate.gov/about/chairman.cfm>
23. <http://www.bulletpath.com/2011/leahy-introduces-cjfsra/>
Criminal Justice and Forensic Science Reform Act of 2011 (CJFSRA, S. 132). and
<http://www.leahy.senate.gov/press/press-releases/leahy-to-introduce-forensics-reform-legislation-in-new-congress>
24. http://www.leahy.senate.gov/press/press_releases/release/?id=6ae7da4b-ec1f-465e-b521-d763ecdc853f
25. E-mail to Iain McKie dated 10. 5. 2012

26. E-mail to Iain McKie dated 5. 6. 2012

Page 6

27. Op. cit., 15, page 4
28. Op. cit., 14, page 4
29. <http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/>
30. Consultation Paper 190: 'The Admissibility of Expert Evidence in Criminal Proceedings in England and Wales: A New Approach to the Determination of Evidentiary Reliability')
31. http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/docs/lc325_Expert_Evidence_Report.pdf
32. <http://www.daubertontheweb.com/> The Daubert judgment spawned a number of other judgments which refined the original findings and principles. See: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Daubert_standard. See also: <http://www.wlf.org/upload/08-22-08calhoun.pdf>
33. <http://www.official-documents.gov.uk/document/hc1011/hc08/0829/0829.pdf>
34. <http://www.guardian.co.uk/science/2011/sep/08/forensic-science-service-closure-damage>

Page 7

35. Op. cit., 23, page 5
36. <http://www.shu.ac.uk/research/lrg/sp-adam-wilson.html>
37. <http://webjcli.ncl.ac.uk/2010/issue1/wilson1.html>
'The Law Commission's proposal on expert opinion evidence an onerous demand upon judges', [2010] 1 Web JCLI.mh
38. Ibid.
39. Op. cit., 32, page 6

40. Op. cit., 33, page 7 See also: <http://www.powereality.net/daubert-factors.htm>

Page 8

41. Ibid.

42. Ibid

43. Ibid.

44. Op. cit., 15, page 4

45. Op. cit., 34, page 6

Page 9

46. Op. cit., 37, page 7 See: Law Com No 190: 1.14 and Law Com No 190: 1.15

47. Op. cit., 37, page 7

48. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Scientific_Working_Group

49. <http://www.swgfast.org/>

50. Ibid.

51. <http://www.theiai.org/guidelines/swgit/index.php> and <http://www.fdiai.org/images/SWGIT%20guidelines.pdf>

Page 10

52. Op. cit., 15, page 4

53. Ibid.

54. <http://www.firmmagazine.com/>

55. [http://www.firmmagazine.com/features/1085/Fingerprints and forensics%3A TheDamning Silence.html](http://www.firmmagazine.com/features/1085/Fingerprints_and_forensics%3A_TheDamning_Silence.html)

Page 11

56. Ibid.

57. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kenny_MacAskill

58. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Frank_Mulholland

59. http://www.spsa.police.uk/about/whos_who/director_forensic_services

60. <http://www.spsa-forensics.police.uk/>

61. Iain McKie in letter to the Lord Advocate dated 25th January 2012.

62. Ibid.

63. <http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2006/04/21140900/3>

Page 12

64. Justice 1 Committee Report - Inquiry into the Scottish Criminal Record Office and Scottish Fingerprint Service; Section 4 – The future of the Scottish Fingerprint Service.

<http://archive.scottish.parliament.uk/business/committees/justice1/reports-07/j1r07-03-vol1-04.htm>

65. <http://www.shirleymckie.com/>

Page 13

66. Op. cit., 61, page 11

67. Op. cit., 55, page 10

Page 14

68. Ibid.

69. <http://www.scottishinsight.ac.uk/Portals/50/ScotsLawEvidence.pdf>

Scottish Universities Insight Institute paper 'Scots Law of Evidence: Fit for purpose in the digital and global age', published in December 2011

70. <http://www.scottishinsight.ac.uk/>

71. Op. cit., 69, page 14 (page 6 of the paper)

72. Op. cit., 69, page 14 (page 1 of the paper)

Page 15

73. Op. Cit., 69, page 14 (pages 1/2 of the paper)

74. Ibid.

75. Ibid.

76. Op. cit., pages ¾

77. Ibid. See also R V Kempster 2008 at: <http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWCA/Crim/2008/975.html>

Page 16

78. Op. cit., 34, page 6

79. <http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201012/cmselect/cmsctech/855/85507.htm>

80. <http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201011/cmselect/cmsctech/writev/forensic/m61.htm>

81. <http://www.parliament.uk/science/>
82. Op. cit., 80, page 16 (Paras 1.1 and 1.3)
83. <http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201012/cmselect/cmsctech/855/85502.htm>

Page 17

84. <http://www.guardian.co.uk/science/2009/apr/05/forensic-science-government-funding>
85. <http://www.homeoffice.gov.uk/agencies-public-bodies/fsr/>
86. Ibid. and <http://www.homeoffice.gov.uk/agencies-public-bodies/fsr/regulator/>
87. Ibid.
88. <http://www.homeoffice.gov.uk/agencies-public-bodies/fsr/specialist-advisory-groups/forensic-advisory-council/>

Page 18

89. Ibid.
90. <http://www.homeoffice.gov.uk/agencies-public-bodies/fsr/codes-practice/>
91. Ibid. (see also:
<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2009:322:0014:0016:EN:PDF>)
92. <http://www.ukas.com/>
93. <http://www.ukas.com/about-accreditation/about-ukas/>

Page 19

94. <http://www.spsa-forensics.police.uk/>
95. Ibid.
96. Ibid.
97. <http://www.heraldscotland.com/news/crime-courts/fears-over-police-forensics-service.16892161>

Page 20

98. http://www.spsa.police.uk/news/scottish_police_services_authority_welcomes_findings_fingerprint_inquiry
99. Op. cit., 92, page 18
100. http://www.spsa-forensics.police.uk/about/quality_procedures

Page 21

101. <http://www.hmics.org/>
102. Op. cit., 59, page 11
103. Op. cit., 85, 18
104. Op. cit., 88, 17
105. <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-scotland-glasgow-west-17953266> and
<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/uk-scotland-glasgow-west-17958745>

Page 22

106. <http://www.theiai.org/>
107. www.fpsociety.org.uk/

108. <http://www.forensicstandards.org/>
109. http://www.nifs.com.au/NIFS/NIFS_frame.html?Goals.asp&1
110. <http://www.npia.police.uk/>
111. <http://www.interpol.int/>
112. <http://www.interpol.int/News-and-media/Events/2012/7th-International-Symposium-on-Fingerprints>
113. Op. cit., 14,15,16,18, page 4
114. Op. cit., 16, page 4
115. Ibid. (page 3, para 3)

Page 23

116. [2011] HCJAC 40 Appeal No: XC408/09 WILLIAM GAGE against HER MAJESTY'S ADVOCATE
117. Ibid.
118. Gage v HM Adv [2006] HCJAC 7
119. <http://www.sccrc.org.uk/home.aspx>
120. <http://www.sccrc.org.uk/viewfile.aspx?id=396>
121. <http://www.scotcourts.gov.uk/opinions/2012HCJAC14.html>
122. <http://www.valentinemoore.co.uk/recent.htm>
123. Op. cit., 121, page 23
124. Ibid.
125. Ibid.
126. <http://www.bps.org.uk/>

Page 24

127. http://www.psychiatry.ox.ac.uk/epct/emily_holmes/articles/bpsmemorylaw
128. Ibid.
129. Ibid.
130. Op. cit., 37, page 7
131. http://scholar.google.co.uk/scholar?q=fallible+eyewitness+memory+and+identification&hl=en&as_sdt=0&as_vis=1&oi=scholart&sa=X&ei=j%2012IT8OeMcKr0QXL0J3jAw&ved=0CBsQgQMwAA

Page 25

132. E-mail to Iain McKie dated 24. 5. 12
133. Ibid.
134. <http://www.eyewitnessid.com/mcdonald.html> (Part D, para 8)

Page 26

135. <http://www.eyewitnessid.com/>
136. Op. cit., 134, page 25 (I. Expert Testimony on Eyewitness Identification)
137. Ibid.
138. Ibid.
139. Ibid.

Page 27

140. <http://www.nytimes.com/2011/08/25/nyregion/in-new-jersey-rules-changed-on-witness-ids.html> and see also:
<http://www.nytimes.com/2010/06/22/nyregion/22witness.html?scp=6&sq=New%20Jersey%20police%20lineups&st=cse>
141. <http://www.innocenceproject.org/understand/Eyewitness-Misidentification.php>
<http://www.eyewitnessid.com/mcdonald.html> and
[http://www.psychology.iastate.edu/~glwells/ABA Journal eyewitness article May 2012.pdf](http://www.psychology.iastate.edu/~glwells/ABA_Journal_eyewitness_article_May_2012.pdf)

Page 28

142. Op. cit., 37, page 7
143. Op. cit., 14, page 4
144. Op. cit., 37, page 7
145. Ibid.
146. <http://www.homeoffice.gov.uk/agencies-public-bodies/fsr/specialist-advisory-groups/>

Page 29

147. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Harry_T._Edwards
See also: <http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/frontline/criminal-justice/real-csi/judge-harry-t-edwards-how-reliable-is-forensic-evidence-in-court/>
148. Op. Cit., 13, page 3
149. [http://www.cadc.uscourts.gov/internet/home.nsf/AttachmentsByTitle/NAS+Report+on+Forensic+Science/\\$FILE/Edwards,+The+NAS+Report+on+Forensic+Science.pdf](http://www.cadc.uscourts.gov/internet/home.nsf/AttachmentsByTitle/NAS+Report+on+Forensic+Science/$FILE/Edwards,+The+NAS+Report+on+Forensic+Science.pdf) (Page 12)

150. <http://www.law.ucla.edu/faculty/all-faculty-profiles/professors/Pages/jennifer-l-mnookin.aspx>

151. Op. cit., 149, page 29

Page 30

152. <http://www.lawscot.org.uk/>

153. <http://www.advocates.org.uk/>

154. <http://scotland-judiciary.org.uk/59/0/Judicial-Training>

155. <http://www.scotlawcom.gov.uk/>

156. <http://www.scotland-judiciary.org.uk/26/663/Keynote-address-by-the-Lord-President-at-Senators-Training-Week>

and <http://scotland-judiciary.org.uk/59/0/Judicial-Training>

157. <http://www.lawscot.org.uk/becomingasolicitor>

158. http://services.lawsociety.org.uk/events/events_results?keywords=Expert+&type=0®ion=0&date_range=0&date_start=&date_stop=

159. Op. cit., 153, page 30

Page 31

160. <http://www.crownoffice.gov.uk/>

161. See page 3 of this paper

162. Op. cit., 13, page 3

163. <http://www.americanbar.org/aba.html>

Page 32

164. http://www.abanow.org/wordpress/wp-content/files_flutter/1326403870_31_1_1_9_resolution_summary.doc

See ABA House of Delegates Recommendation 100E Adopted August 9-10, 2010, Item 10 (need for forensic science training for lawyers and judges).

165. <http://for-sci-law-now.blogspot.co.uk/2011/06/displaying-grave-dissatisfaction-with.html> (See *R. v. Smith*, [2011] EWCA Crim 1296)
166. <http://www.justice.gov/>
167. <http://www.washingtonpost.com/>
168. http://www.washingtonpost.com/local/crime/convicted-defendants-left-uninformed-of-forensic-flaws-found-by-justice-dept/2012/04/16/gIQAWTcgMT_story.html

Page 33

169. <http://smithforensic.blogspot.co.uk/2012/04/washington-post-exposee-american-bar.html>
Also see: <http://www.abanow.org/2012/01/2012mm101c/>
170. <http://www.scotland.gov.uk/About/CarlowayReview/Contents> and
<http://www.cjscotland.co.uk/2012/07/carloway-review-on-scottish-criminal-process/>
171. <http://www.compasschambers.com/advocates-cv.asp?id=37>
172. http://www.scotsman.com/news/maggie_scott_corroboration_a_second_opinion_1_1991063

Page 34

173. Ibid.
174. Op. cit., 14, page 4
175. http://www.copfs.gov.uk/sites/default/files/Witness%20A4%20booklet_4.pdf
176. Ibid.

Page 35

177. Op. cit., 172, page 33
178. Pages 22/28 of this paper
179. Op. cit., 170, page 33
180. Op. cit., 15, page 4
181. Outer House, Court of Session: A4960/01: Opinion of Lord Wheatley in the cause Shirley Jane McKie Pursuer; against the Strathclyde Joint Police Board and others Defenders. and <http://www.shirleymckie.com/documents/LordWheatley23.12.04.pdf> and
The origins of the general principles of this privilege are found in the case of *Watson v McEwan* (1905) 7F (HL) 109

Page 36

182. Ibid. A4960/01, para 11
183. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/John_Wheatley,_Lord_Wheatley
184. Op. cit., 181, page 31
185. <http://www.simpmar.com/news-knowledge/smib/2011/june/difficult-questions> and *Jones v Kaney* [2011] UKSC 13
186. Ibid. Web site
187. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/David_Hope,_Baron_Hope_of_Craighead
188. Op. cit., 181, page 35

Page 37

189. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Adversarial_system
190. <http://www.newscientist.com/>
191. Civil Procedure Rules: Part 35 'Experts and Assessors' and at <http://www.justice.gov.uk/courts/procedure-rules/civil/rules/part35>

192. New Scientist vol. 163 issue 2193: 03 July 1999, page 18 and at
[http://www.shirleymckie.com/officialreportsPDFs/19 %20July%202003%20-%20Science%20and%20Justice%20-%20Oxford%20Lecture.pdf](http://www.shirleymckie.com/officialreportsPDFs/19%20July%202003%20-%20Science%20and%20Justice%20-%20Oxford%20Lecture.pdf) (Page 15)

Page 38

193. <http://www.sallyclark.org.uk/> Victim of an infamous [miscarriage of justice](#) when she was wrongly convicted of the murder of two of her sons in 1999. Expert pediatric evidence was found to be erroneous.
194. <http://www.shirleymckie.com/> Erroneous identification of a fingerprint leads to ‘The Fingerprint Inquiry Scotland’ See Op. cit., 15, page 4
195. Op. Cit., 147,148,149 and 151, page 29 above
196. Op. Cit., 13, page 3
197. <http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/frontline/criminal-justice/real-csi/judge-harry-t-edwards-how-reliable-is-forensic-evidence-in-court/>
198. <http://www.justice.gov.uk/courts/procedure-rules/civil/rules/part35#IDASLICC>

Page 39

199. Op. cit., 85, page 17
200. http://www.chem.strath.ac.uk/people/academic/jim_fraser

Page 40

201. <http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/hume/>
202. David Hume, ‘Dialogues Concerning Natural Religion’

Page 41

203. Hume, i, xlvi

See: http://www.livingphilosophy.org.uk/philosophy/David_Hume/on_Justice.htm