

ISSUES FOR THE JUDICIAL ENQUIRY

In the lead up to the judicial enquiry I intend to highlight some issues that I hope the enquiry will investigate. While I have every confidence that the enquiry under Lord Chief Justice Campbell and his team will finally reveal the truth I am also aware that before any evidence is taken there is a massive amount of sometimes contrary information to understand and assimilate. My ongoing analysis of the thousands of documents I have been able to access has revealed a number of important issues that I hope the enquiry will turn its attention to. I intend to highlight some of them in the coming weeks and months.

.....

Issues of Criminality

The MacKay Report ended:

'One cannot help but firmly believe that mistakes having been made there prevailed a culture and a mindset to preserve the reputation of individuals. There was then a criminal course of action, which disregarded the consequences and their impact on others. Sadly the entrenched arrogance by some overshadows the dedication and excellent work of others.'

Things have in fact moved on since this report and we can now identify lies and misinformation from the experts and others within the justice system that assisted the criminal behaviour and allowed it to be kept hidden.

Claims that people did not know people or that certain actions were taken have been disproved and challenge the belief that there was no attempt to manipulate or suppress evidence.

The Crown Office and Lord Advocate do not come out well from this analysis and they have many questions to answer about a complete failure to be open and accountable. That the experts were not prosecuted following Mr. MacKay's report beggars belief and it was almost as if they were desperately seeking any evidence that would stop this happening.

It appears possible that certain important witnesses who were never called to give evidence at the Justice 1 enquiry, like SCRO head Harry Bell and then PF William Gilchrist, have critical information that will help the enquiry make sense of why the experts were allowed to escape detection for so long.

That there was criminality present seems beyond argument what is intriguing is why that evidence was not acted on by the prosecution.

The above of course are only allegations and both sides of the story must be heard. Lurking in the background however is the feeling that Lockerbie had much more influence on the Crown Office decisions than they have so far admitted.

Some issue to come:

- Admission of mistakes
- Misuse of the sub-judice rule.
- Why are experts who support the misidentification still working within the SPSA
- The Lockerbie link.
- The issue of 'difference of opinion'.